



Reply to comment on 'The Computational 2D Materials Database: high-throughput modeling and discovery of atomically thin crystals'

Haastrup, Sten; Strange, Mikkel; Pandey, Mohnish; Deilmann, Thorsten; Schmidt, Per Simmendefeldt; Hinsche, Nicki Frank; Gjerding, Morten Niklas; Torelli, Daniele; Larsen, Peter Mahler; Riis-Jensen, Anders Christian

Total number of authors:
15

Published in:
2D materials

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1088/2053-1583/ab2f00](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1583/ab2f00)

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Haastrup, S., Strange, M., Pandey, M., Deilmann, T., Schmidt, P. S., Hinsche, N. F., Gjerding, M. N., Torelli, D., Larsen, P. M., Riis-Jensen, A. C., Gath, J., Jacobsen, K. W., Mortensen, J. J., Olsen, T., & Thygesen, K. S. (2019). Reply to comment on 'The Computational 2D Materials Database: high-throughput modeling and discovery of atomically thin crystals'. *2D materials*, 6(4), [048002]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1583/ab2f00>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

COMMENTS AND REPLIES • **OPEN ACCESS**

Reply to comment on ‘The Computational 2D Materials Database: high-throughput modeling and discovery of atomically thin crystals’

Recent citations

- [Proposal for Reconfigurable Magnetic Tunnel Diode and Transistor](#)
Ersoy aolu *et al*

To cite this article: Sten Hastrup *et al* 2019 *2D Mater.* **6** 048002

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Going Greener Together....

Search and buy our
Green Production materials
at www.goodfellow.com

Goodfellow
GREEN PRODUCTION

Bio-degradable
Non-toxic
Bio-based
Derived from renewable sources

The advertisement features a green background with a stylized factory and houses. It promotes Goodfellow's Green Production materials, highlighting four key benefits: Bio-degradable, Non-toxic, Bio-based, and Derived from renewable sources. The Goodfellow logo is prominently displayed.

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
16 April 2019REVISED
12 June 2019ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
3 July 2019PUBLISHED
24 July 2019

Original content from
this work may be used
under the terms of the
[Creative Commons
Attribution 3.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution
of this work must
maintain attribution
to the author(s) and the
title of the work, journal
citation and DOI.



COMMENTS AND REPLIES

Reply to comment on 'The Computational 2D Materials Database: high-throughput modeling and discovery of atomically thin crystals'

Sten Haastrup¹, Mikkel Strange¹, Mohnish Pandey¹, Thorsten Deilmann¹, Per S Schmidt¹, Nicki F Hinsche¹, Morten N Gjerding¹, Daniele Torelli¹, Peter M Larsen¹, Anders C Riis-Jensen¹, Jakob Gath¹, Karsten W Jacobsen¹, Jens Jørgen Mortensen¹, Thomas Olsen¹ and Kristian S Thygesen^{1,2}

¹ CAMD, Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark² Center for Nanostructured Graphene (CNG), Technical University of DenmarkE-mail: thygesen@fysik.dtu.dk**Keywords:** 2D materials, high-throughput, database, density functional theory**Abstract**

In his comment Maździarz 2019 (2D Mater. 6 048001) raises doubts concerning the reliability of our test for dynamical (in particular elastic) stability of monolayer materials, which neglects the shear components of the stiffness tensor and only considers the sign of the planar stiffness coefficients. We agree that our analysis has not been complete, but find that it suffices in practice except for very few cases (less than 1% of the materials). Nevertheless, for completeness we are currently calculating the shear components of the elastic tensor for all the materials in the C2DB.

In our original paper [2], we described our strategy for testing whether a given hypothesized 2D material would be dynamically stable, i.e. whether it would spontaneously distort if all constraints imposed on the calculation (symmetries and unit cell size) were relaxed. In other words, the test for dynamical stability should assess whether the configuration of the given material represents a minimum or a saddle point of the potential energy surface. Regarding the atomic positions within the unit cell, we calculate the phonons at the corners of the Brillouin zone boundary (specifically the Γ -point phonons of the 2×2 repeated cell). The material is classified as dynamically unstable if at least one phonon with imaginary frequency is found. Concerning the shape of the unit cell, we calculate the components of the stiffness tensor corresponding to uniaxial deformations along the x , and y -axis, namely the C_{11} , C_{22} , and C_{12} components in the Voigt notation. A material is classified as dynamically unstable if either C_{11} or C_{22} is negative.

As pointed out in the comment, the correct test for dynamical stability would involve, in addition to the phonon analysis, a diagonalization of the full stiffness tensor to check for negative eigenvalues. By considering only the sign of C_{11} or C_{22} there is a risk that a material is incorrectly classified as dynamically stable when in reality it would undergo a shear deformation.

We have calculated the full 3×3 stiffness tensor, C , for 378 materials in the C2DB. We picked this set of

materials because we already had calculated the shear deformations in connection with the calculation of their piezoelectric tensors. They cover representatives from all five types of 2D Bravais lattices. In figure 1 we show the minimum eigenvalue of C plotted against $\min\{C_{11}, C_{22}\}$. There are 36 materials in the grey shaded area where our original assessment of dynamical stability based on the C_{11} and C_{22} components is wrong. Most of these are materials in the GeS_2 structure prototype. However, 34 of these have at least one imaginary zone boundary phonon and would therefore be classified as dynamically unstable in any case. Therefore, the stronger criterion based on the full stiffness tensor only leads to a different conclusion for two materials, namely GeSe_2 in the GeS_2 prototype and I_2Sb_2 in the CuI prototype, which are now classified as dynamically unstable.

Maździarz highlights three specific materials from C2DB, namely Au_2O_2 -GaS, Ta_2Se_2 -GaS, and Re_2O_2 -FeSe, and criticises that (1) despite the hexagonal and cubic symmetries of the lattices C_{11} and C_{22} are not equal for these materials, and (2) the elastic stability of the crystals is not reflected by the signs of C_{11} and C_{22} . Regarding (1), we acknowledge that C_{11} and C_{22} should be equal in these cases, but according to our calculations they deviate by 1.1%, 0.8%, and 9%, respectively. The average deviation for the 531 materials in C2DB with hexagonal or cubic symmetry is 1.2%, see figure 2. This is obviously due to numerics




Figure 1. The minimum eigenvalue of the full 3×3 stiffness tensor plotted against the smallest of the two uniaxial stiffness coefficients. Conclusions regarding the elastic stability is changed for the 36 materials in the grey shaded region. However, all of these except for the two materials indicated by red circles are dynamically unstable due to imaginary zone boundary phonons.




Figure 2. The two in-plane elastic constants, C_{11} and C_{22} , plotted against each other for 531 materials in C2DB with either hexagonal or cubic crystal symmetry. Ideally, the two should be equal but due to numerical uncertainties in practice they are not. The three materials discussed in the text are highlighted. The mean relative deviation between the two components for all the materials is 1.2%.

as we also write in our original paper (page 9): ‘for the isotropic materials MoS_2 , WSe_2 and WS_2 , C_{11} and C_{22} should be identical, and we see a variation of up to 0.6%. This provides a test of how well converged the values are with respect to numerical settings.’ The deviation of 9% for $\text{Re}_2\text{O}_2\text{—FeSe}$ is an outlier and we speculate that it arises due to the strong dynamical instability of this material (see below). We note that we could have decided to symmetrise the elastic tensors by hand such as to exactly reflect the symmetry of

the lattice. We have, however, refrained from such symmetrisation procedure because we believe it is relevant and more transparent to provide the raw rather than post-processed data. Similar considerations apply to many other quantities in C2DB. Regarding (2) we can essentially refer to the discussion in the first part of this paper. After calculating the full stiffness tensor for the three materials we obtain the same conclusions regarding the elastic stability of these materials as suggested in the Comment. However, as was the case for 99.5%

of the 378 test materials discussed above, irrespective of the stiffness tensor all three materials are correctly categorised as dynamically unstable in C2DB because they have zone boundary phonons with imaginary frequencies.

Despite the fact that only 0.5% out of the set of 378 materials are affected, we have decided to calculate the full stiffness tensor for all of the approximately 4000 materials currently in the C2DB. The full stiffness tensors for the 378 materials have already been made available in the C2DB, and data for the remaining materials will be available as soon as the calculations are done.

Acknowledgments

The Center for Nanostructured Graphene is sponsored by the Danish National Research Foundation, Project DNRF103. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 773122, LIMA).

ORCID iDs

Sten Haastrup  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3696-0356>

Thorsten Deilmann  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4165-2446>

Nicki F Hinsche  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0176-6038>

Morten N Gjerding  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5256-660X>

Daniele Torelli  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4861-0268>

Thomas Olsen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6256-9284>

Kristian S Thygesen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5197-214X>

References

- [1] Maździarz M 2019 *2D Mater.* **6** 048001
- [2] Haastrup S *et al* 2018 *2D Mater.* **5** 042002